



Shire of
Nannup
rest • connect • grow

Minutes

Public Copy

Council Meeting held Thursday 20 October 2016

Unconfirmed

Contents

Item	Minute	Title	Page
1		Declaration of Opening/Announcement of Visitors	1
2		Record of Attendance/Apologies/Leave of Absence (Previously Approved)	1
3		Response to Previous Public Questions Taken on Notice	1
4		Public Question Time	7
5		Applications For Leave of Absence	10
6		Petitions/Deputations/Presentations	10
7		Declarations of Interest	10
8		Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings	
	16134	Confirmation of Previous Council Meeting Minutes	10
9		Minutes Of Council Committees	
	16135	Receive the Minutes of BigN	10
10		Announcements By Presiding Member Without Discussion	11
11		Reports By Members Attending Committees	11
12		Reports of Officers	
12.1	16136	Council Meetings 2017 – Dates and Times	12
12.2	16137	Annual Councillor/Employee Christmas Function and Christmas Shut Down	15
12.3	16138	Request to Waive Town Hall Hire Fees	17
12.4	16139	WA Small Business Friendly Local Governments Initiative – Invitation to Participate	19
12.5	16140	Former Quannup Pastoral Lease	22
12.6	16141	Nannup Mountain Bike Club Pump Track	25
12.7	16142	Exemption From Waste Management Levy – Donnelly River Residents	28
12.8	16143	Budget Monitoring – September 2016	31
12.9	16144	Monthly Accounts for Payment - September 2016	33

Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016

13		New Business Of An Urgent Nature Introduced By Decision Of Meeting	35
13.1		Officers	
13.2		Elected Members	
14		Meeting Closed To The Public (Confidential Items)	
	16145	Procedural Motion	35
	16146	Procedural Motion	39
	16147	Procedural Motion	39
14.1	16148	Nannup Caravan Park – Lease Agreement	39
	16149	Procedural Motion	40
14.2		Public Reading Of Resolutions That May Be Made Public	
15		Elected Members Motions Of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given	
15.1	16150	Wooden Clock	40
16		Question By Members Of Which Due Notice Has Been Given	41
17		Closure Of Meeting	41

Minutes

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Shire President declared the meeting open at 4:15pm.

2. RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

ATTENDANCE:

Shire President: Cr A Dean

Councillors: R Mellema, C Gilbert, R Longmore, N Steer, A Slater C Stevenson and P Fraser

Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer

Mr Jon Jones – Manager Infrastructure

Tracie Bishop – Manager Corporate Services

APOLOGIES: Nil

LEAVE OF ABSENCE: Nil

VISITORS: Rita Stallard, Len Gilchrist, Julie Kay, Kerry Firth, Mr and Mrs Hedley.

3. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.

Mrs Rita Stallard

The following questions were taken on notice at the Ordinary Council meeting of 22 September 2016. Mrs Stallard was provided with a written response from the CEO on 28 September 2016. The response from the Shire is recorded accordingly:-

Question 1

Are you aware that since the last Council meeting where I pointed out problems with the proposed pound and the letter I then sent to the CEO and all Councillors further explaining my reasoning, I have received from the Shire:

'Legal Action Notes'; Dog Barking Instructions' and 'Dog Barking Log'; A 12 page 'Information Pack for Dog Complaints'; A letter from the Shire in response to my letter advising that (although four neighbours have complained) the onus is on me to once again provide extensive, ongoing, written evidence re the continual loud barking dog next door; however the destructive and aggressive behaviour of the dog was not addressed, nor the threats to my life by the owner of the dog. I also received a 'Legal Caution' re my unregistered and non-microchipped dog

with 14 days to remedy same or face 200 dollar fine; and a 'Failure To Comply With Dog Act' pending penalty of 5 thousand dollars; and an 'Infringement Notice' for non-microchipped and non registration of another dog requiring two more lots of 200 dollar fines; and ultimately I face a further 10 thousand dollar fine for each of my dogs and eventual seize and destroy orders?

Response:

Firstly, Councillors had been briefed on the action that had been taken by staff in respect to the ongoing issue relating to your neighbour's dog and additional action taken against yourself for not registering your dogs. In respect to the processes for barking complaints, the information pack provided to you, which includes the requirement to provide a diary on the occurrences of barking, is standard practice as staff need to collate all the necessary documentation in the event that the matter is referred to Court for prosecution. If other neighbours have provided similar written complaints regarding excessive barking, then they too will be requested to diarise the barking activities. As I understand, no other official complaints have been received.

With regards to the alleged "destructive and aggressive behaviour" of the neighbour's dog, the Shire Ranger has been in constant contact with owner of the dog regarding the complaint, but such an accusation must have substance and this has not been determined as yet. However, the owner has been issued an Infringement Notice for allowing the dog to wander at large and the Ranger is working with the owner for improved fencing to be erected to contain the dog.

In relation to the statement of "threats to my life by the owner of the dog", this is a Police matter and should be referred to the local Police for their action.

The letter forwarded to you by Councils Manager Corporate Services, dated 21 September 2016, referencing requirements of the *Dog Act 1976 (the Act)* to microchip and register your dogs is again common practice, as is the issuing of Infringement Notices to you for failing to comply with this requirement. I am not aware of any correspondence to you that quotes "seize and destroy orders" being issued.

Question 2

Are you aware this sudden prosecution and persecution of me and my life companions comes directly on the heels of my recent criticisms of Shire policy and the Shire's incapacity to resolve the very long issue of the neighbour dog's continual destructive and aggressive behaviour ON MY PROPERTY?

Response:

The action taken against you under the Act has no bearing on your recent criticisms of Shire Policy or your perceived incapacity of the Shire to resolve the issue pertaining to your neighbour's dogs. As indicated in the Manager Corporate Services letter of 12 September 2016, processes involved in resolving dog issues can be tedious and frustrating to the complainant, but processes must be undertaken and where possible, Council staff will work with all parties to obtain a satisfactory resolution. It is considered that this is objective is being undertaken.

Question 3

Are you aware that although I face 10 thousand dollar fines and seize and destroy orders for my dogs, the neighbour dog that regularly defiantly stands at my front door and will not allow me access to my own home and has in conjunction with another dog attacked me receives no such penalty?

Response:

In relation to the letter of 21 September 2016 providing extracts from the Act and potential fines that can be imposed by the Court, this was provided to you to indicate the requirements of the Act, and responsibilities of owners to microchip and register their dogs after 3 months of age. As you have neglected to adhere to these requirements the Ranger was obligated to issue the Infringement Notices.

Whenever you have raised a complaint regarding the offending dog/s, Council's Ranger has responded accordingly and your complaints are taken seriously. The allegation that the dog regularly stands at your front door and denies you access to your home has yet to be substantiated.

Question 4

Are you aware that were I able to comply with the Shire directives of microchipping and then subsequent lawful registration of two dogs; I have only 14 days to do so, whereas the next door dog problem causing me ill health and serious stress has continued unabated for many, many long months of days and nights and there are no deadlines nor seize and destroy there?

Are you aware I would like to register my two sterilised dogs but the law will not permit me to do so without them being microchipped; and I am opposed on moral, medical and ethical grounds to being forced to have a foreign object permanently implanted into my two, responsibly trained, non straying, loyal companions?

Response:

I can assure that in all of the dealings with your neighbour's regarding their dogs, they have been made aware of the requirements of the Act, exactly as you have, and again, Council is working with them to identify the issues and resolve this amicably.

As Council's Ranger has stated to you, your dogs should have been microchipped and registered after 3 months of age. You were given the opportunity of undertaking this requirement but you opted not to. The Manager Corporate Services letter of 21 September 2016 indicates in Section 21(4) of the Act that "a dog is exempt from microchipping if a certificate given by a veterinarian stating that the implantation of a microchip in the dog may adversely affect the health and welfare of the dog applies in respect of the dog".

Council has no doubt that your dogs are looked after, are loyal companions and are well trained. This is not the issue. The Act requires certain things to be adhered to and Council and staff are merely carrying out the requirements of this piece of Western Australian legislation.

Question 5

Are you aware that possible side effects to long term use of the device has not yet been fully determined and further that one of my dogs has had serious medical issues and reacts contrary to the norm regarding intervention medications and therefore the very real possibility of adverse reactions in this instance is unknown?

Response:

See Question 4 in respect to exemptions relating to microchipping.

Question 6

Are you aware that I am and always have been a very responsible dog owner and that none of my dogs have ever strayed and can indeed provide witnesses to verify this fact?

Response:

As indicated in Question 4, Council does not deny that your dogs are well trained and do not stray, the issue of non-microchipped and unregistered dogs and your failure to adhere to this requirement of the Act are the reasons for the issuing of Infringement Notices.

Question 7

Have all rural properties in Nannup been examined for unregistered and non microchipped dogs or is it just mine?

Response:

Firstly, Council does not have the resources to personally contact or carry out individual inspections of all premises in the Shire to ascertain whether dogs are registered or not. Council does however advertise periodically in the "Telegraph" newsletter of the requirement for dog owners to register their dogs and similar notification is provided in the "Information Booklet" that is distributed with the Rate Notices annually.

The onus is upon the dog owner to register their animals. If Council staff become aware of the failure of owners to microchip and register their dogs, similar action that has been taken against you will also be taken against them.

Question 8

Do you think the irresponsible owners of all the uncollared, sole and loose running packs (of sometimes three dogs), in the Nannup townsite have had their dogs microchipped and registered?

Response:

If Council staff receive reports of roaming dogs, every effort will be given to apprehending the offending animals and the owners infringed for allowing their dogs to roam and, if they are found not to be microchipped or registered, they will also face similar Infringement action.

The Shire of Nannup previously engaged the services of the City of Busselton to undertake Ranger services and this was deemed by Council to be unworkable when matters arose and response times to issues were prolonged. The initiative to appoint one of our own staff as a part-time Ranger, with the person willing to do the required training, has seen dog issues responded to in a more timely manner. Council is firmly of the opinion that the person who undertakes this role is dedicated to his position and shows the necessary compassion when dealing with difficult situations.

Many times the issues become personal with the dog issue secondary and this requires a level of mediation between the parties to resolve the matter.

Question 9

An Aboriginal man once said "I am old now, I go home to live with my dogs." That too is my mantra as I am old and live with my dogs but apparently not in peace or

without persecution. The letter of the law (but perhaps not its intended objectives) has been taken advantage of and timely and rigorously applied to me and mine, whereas it would seem that the letter of the law has not been utilised to deal with the problem neighbour dog and its irresponsible owner. Would you agree with this scenario?

Response:

Council staff have not singled anybody out in this matter and nor will they in undertaking their duties. Council is confident that through negotiations and working with the parties, a successful outcome will be achieved, albeit though, if individuals are not adhering to the Act, then necessary action will be taken as has been done on this occasion.

Mr Len Gilchrist

The following questions were taken on notice at the Ordinary Council meeting of 22 September 2016. Mr Gilchrist was provided with a written response from the CEO on 28 September 2016. The response from the Shire is recorded accordingly:-

Question 1

Is anyone allowed to go onto another person's property without the owner's permission and I would like to be advised in writing of who is authorised to do so?

Response:

From a local government perspective, the following Officers are authorised to enter premises without the express authorisation of the owner in cases of health matters and emergency situations:-

- **Health Surveyor – In accordance with provisions of the Health Act 1911 and the newly legislated Health Act 2016.**
- **Fire Control Officers – In accordance with the Bush Fires Act 1954 in case of Bush Fire emergencies; and**
- **Shire Ranger – In accordance with the Dog Act 1976 in cases relating to apprehension of dangerous dogs.**

In respect to other emergency response authorities, you would need to contact them to ascertain their level of authorisation to enter premises in case of emergencies.

Question 2

The corner of Dean Road and Blackwood Drive has no "give way" or "stop" signs at this "T" junction and as this is a safety issue, should not appropriate signs be erected at this location?

Response:-

"Give Way" and "Stop" signs are classed as Regulatory signage and Main Roads WA is the responsible authority for granting permission for this type of signage to be erected. If requests are made to the Shire of Nannup for Regulatory signage to be installed on roads, Main Roads are contacted to gain the necessary approvals.

Assessments are made on traffic counts and whether Main Roads perceives that the installation of the signage would improve safety aspects at the requested location. If approved, the signage becomes the responsibility of Main Roads and the Shire of Nannup has no jurisdiction over the signage.

As far as Council is aware, no requests have been forthcoming for such signage to be erected at the Blackwood Drive and Dean Road "T" junction however, the Manager for Infrastructure has now referred the matter to Main Roads for their assessment and I will inform you of Main Roads response once it has been received.

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME:

The Shire President invited questions from the Public. Public Question time commenced 4.17pm

Mr Len Gilchrist, Lot 35 Blackwood River Drive, Nannup

- Q1. Would the person representing the North Ward explain to me why it took 2.5 years to try and get mobile service down here?
- Q2. Would the person representing the North Ward explain to me why he has not contacted the Main Roads concerning the state of roads from Vasse Highway coming off Mowen Road into town?
- Q3. Why has there been no give way signs posted to Greenwood Drive and Dean Road coming onto Greenwood Drive?
- Q4. Having asked the President 2 years ago about the 60kph signs close to town and yet the Shire President told me he knows what he's on about?

- Q5. How come when I raise a few questions there is no microphone on the table during public question time?
- Q6. Who was the person who told the Councillors that he was not going to vote for the sport centre then informed me that he was going to?
- A. Following the presentation of the above questions, the Shire President advised that all questions would be taken on notice and a written response provided to Mr Gilchrist.**

Rita Stallard – Lot 104 East Nannup Road, Nannup

- Q1. How does the shire determine if a dog has been microchipped?
- A1. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO and Manager Corporate Services. Ms Tracie Bishop, advised that when an owner registers a dog that has not previously be registered or has only been required to have microchipped from 1 July 2015, the certification issued when the dog was microchipped is required before the registration can be completed. This paperwork contains a unique number that is for the microchip that has been inserted.**
- Q2. So does the ranger check every dog that is registered?
- A2. The Shire President deferred this question to the Manager Corporate Services, Ms Tracie Bishop, who advised a similar process is followed when registering a sterilised dog. The owner needs to produce the sterilisation certificate.**
- Q3. How do you know that the paperwork I bring in to say that the kelpie is microchipped is the correct dog? How do you know that I don't use it twice?
- A3. The Shire President responded that there is a degree of trust involved in the process and it is hoped that owners do not resort to fraudulent activity.**
- Q4. Every single person who registered a dog since 2013 has to show the document. Is that right?
- A4. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised that this was not correct as the microchipping regulation did not come into effect until July 1, 2015. Therefore only dogs that were newly registered after this date or those dogs whose**

previous registration expired on 31 October 2015 onwards have had to show this certification.

- Q5. Has every dog that has been registered in Nannup been checked that it is sterilized.
- A5. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised that there is no physical check completed when an owner comes in to register their dog as sterilised. However the paperwork previously mentioned is required and there is an assumption that the owner of the dog is acting in good faith and is not completing a fraudulent transaction.
- Q6. One kelpie looks the same as the next you don't know which dog you are registering.
- A6. As per question three.
- Q7. So if I don't show sterilized certificate would you believe me?
- A7. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised that as per question two it is a requirement to show the certification that the sterilisation has occurred. Therefore without this certification the dog cannot be registered as a sterilised dog.
- Q8. I want it straight that all dog owners are getting the same treatment.
- A8. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised all owners are treated in the same manner as per the Dog Act 1976.
- Q9. Are you aware that a person whose dog had died was told that as they had not informed Council of this passing that they may be getting a \$200 fine?
- A9. The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised that Council was not aware of this being stated. If Mrs Stallard would like to provide the name and address of the person who was given this information it would be followed up. It is not Council policy, nor is it believed to be contained within the Dog Act to fine people for not advising that their animals have died and are no longer requiring registration.
- Q10. It is not written down or general policy that you will be fined?

A10.The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised it is not Council policy or procedure to implement a fine for this failure to notify.

Q11.There was a couple of meetings ago I bought up. If Councillors would like the pound near their house. My question of the August meeting, Mr President do you think any of the councillors would like the pound near their house? Shire President answered – irrelevant. Why is this not included within the minutes?

A11.The Shire President deferred this question to the CEO, Mr Peter Clarke, who advised that he believed the matter was responded to in the September minutes by providing the response from the Shire President and an apology from the CEO for not recording the question in the August 2016 minutes.

5. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

6. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil

8. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

16134 FRASER/LONGMORE

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of the Shire of Nannup held in Council Chambers on 22 September 2016 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

9. MINUTES OF COUNCIL & OTHER COMMITTEES

16135 STEVENSON/FRASER

That the Minutes of the BigN meeting held on Thursday 1st September 2016 be received.

CARRIED (8/0)

10. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION
Nil

11. REPORTS BY MEMBERS ATTENDING COMMITTEES

Date	Meeting	Councillor
11/10/2016	Warren Blackwood Alliance of Council Annual General Meeting and General Meeting	Cr. Dean & Cr. Longmore
19/10/2016	Blackwood Basin Group Annual General Meeting & General Meeting	Cr. Longmore
20/10/2016	Audit Advisory Committee Meeting	Cr. Dean, Cr. Mellema, Cr. Slater, Cr Steer, Cr. Gilbert, Cr. Fraser, Cr. Stevenson & Cr. Longmore

Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.1
SUBJECT:	Council Meetings 2017 – Dates and Times
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Shire of Nannup
FILE REFERENCE:	
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	5 October 2016

BACKGROUND:

Section 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* requires a Local Government to at least once a year give local public notice of the dates on which and the time and place at which:–

- (a) the ordinary council meetings;
- (b) the committee meetings that are required under the *Act* to be open to members of the public or that are proposed to be open to members of the public; and
- (c) are to be held in the next 12 months.

COMMENT:

The proposed dates for the 2017 monthly Council meetings, subject to consideration of meeting times:-

*Wednesday, 25 January 2017	Thursday, 27 July 2017
Thursday, 23 February 2017	Thursday, 24 August 2017
Thursday, 23 March 2017	Thursday, 28 September 2017
Thursday, 27 April 2017	Thursday, 26 October 2017
Thursday, 25 May 2017	Thursday, 23 November 2017
Thursday, 22 June 2017	

Historically Council does not conduct an Ordinary Meeting in December and again this has been excluded from the scheduled meeting dates for 2017. Council may wish to consider its position in respect to the conduct of a meeting in December and possibly exclude the January meeting as it is normally a very quiet period at the year's commencement.

*It should be noted that the 26 January 2017 scheduled meeting falls on the Australia Day Public Holiday and therefore Council may wish to consider re-scheduling this meeting to **Wednesday, 25 January 2017**.

In submitting the above meeting dates for 2017, Council may also wish to review meeting commencement times.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT:

Section 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* relating to advertising meeting times and dates.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Shire of Nannup Community Strategic Plan 2013-2023 – Our Council Leadership – Strategy 6.1 Lead, Listen, Advocate, Represent and Provide.

RECOMMENDATION:

That in accordance with Section 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* relating to the advertising of meeting times and dates of monthly Ordinary meetings, the following schedule of times and dates be adopted for the 2017 calendar year:-

Information Session to commence at 3.15pm

Monthly Ordinary Council meetings to commence at 4.15pm on the following dates:-

*Wednesday, 25 January 2017	Thursday, 27 July 2017
Thursday, 23 February 2017	Thursday, 24 August 2017
Thursday, 23 March 2017	Thursday, 28 September 2017
Thursday, 27 April 2017	Thursday, 26 October 2017
Thursday, 25 May 2017	Thursday, 23 November 2017
Thursday, 22 June 2017	

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16136 STEVENSON/SLATER

That in accordance with Section 12 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 relating to the advertising of meeting times and dates of monthly Ordinary meetings, the following schedule of times and dates be adopted for the 2017 calendar year:-

Information Session to commence at 3.15pm

Monthly Ordinary Council meetings to commence at 4.15pm on the following dates:-

<i>*Wednesday, 25 January 2017</i>	<i>Thursday, 27 July 2017</i>
<i>Thursday, 23 February 2017</i>	<i>Thursday, 24 August 2017</i>
<i>Thursday, 23 March 2017</i>	<i>Thursday, 28 September 2017</i>
<i>Thursday, 27 April 2017</i>	<i>Thursday, 26 October 2017</i>
<i>Thursday, 25 May 2017</i>	<i>Thursday, 23 November 2017</i>
<i>Thursday, 22 June 2017</i>	

CARRIED (8/0)

**Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016**

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.2
SUBJECT:	Annual Councillor/Employee Christmas Function and Christmas Shut Down
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Shire of Nannup
FILE REFERENCE:	
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	5 October 2016

BACKGROUND:

With Christmas fast approaching it is necessary for Council to consider whether it wishes to conduct a Councillor/Employee end of year function, and if so, this will enable staff to commence organising same.

COMMENT:

It is considered that such a function enables Council to formally thank its employees for their efforts throughout the year and provides the opportunity for Councillors to meet with all of the Shire of Nannup employees in a social atmosphere. Such a function also has the ability to lift employee morale in being recognised for their efforts.

In 2015 Council conducted an informal function at the Nannup Recreation Centre Function Room and from observations of senior staff, this was well accepted by all of Council's employees. To encourage all employees to attend the function, it is proposed to conduct this function on the afternoon of Thursday 22 December commencing at 2pm.

As per previous years, all employees take a break over the Christmas period which incorporates weekends, public holiday's, annual leave and accumulated rostered days off between the dates below. This includes the Shire office being closed on the following dates:-

Thursday, 22 December 2016	Close 12 noon, Christmas Function 2pm
Friday, 23 December 2016	Annual Leave/RDO
Saturday, 24 December 2016	Weekend
Sunday, 25 December 2016	" (Christmas Day)
Monday, 26 December 2016	Public Holiday (Boxing Day)
Tuesday, 27 December 2016	" " (in-lieu of Sunday, 25 th)
Wednesday, 28 December 2016	Annual Leave/RDO

**Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016**

Thursday, 29 December 2016	“	“
Friday, 30 December 2016	“	“
Saturday, 31 December 2016	Weekend	
Sunday, 1 January 2017	“	(New Year's Day)
Monday, 2 January 2017	Public Holiday (in-lieu of Sunday, 1 st)	
Tuesday, 3 January 2017	Normal Work Pattern Resumes	

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Provision for expenditure associated with the end of year function would be allocated from the annual Refreshments and Entertainment allocation.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS: Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council conducts an end of year/Christmas function for all Shire of Nannup employees on the afternoon of Thursday 22 December 2016 in a similar format to that which was conducted in 2015. Council also approves of the Shire Office being closed over the 2016/2017 Christmas/New Year break.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16137 STEER/LONGMORE

That Council conducts an end of year/Christmas function for all Shire of Nannup employees on the afternoon of Thursday 22 December 2016 in a similar format to that which was conducted in 2015. Council also approves of the Shire Office being closed over the 2016/2017 Christmas/New Year break.

CARRIED (8/0)

Cr Stevenson enquired as to whether she should be declaring an Impartiality Interest on this matter as, as an employee of the Community Resource Centre she is required to liaise with the organisers of the Black Dog Ride representatives.

The Shire President and Councillors considered this did not qualify as an Impartiality Declaration.

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.3
SUBJECT:	Request to Waive Town Hall Hire Fees
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Mr Trevor Holm – Coordinator 2017 South West Black Dog Ride
FILE REFERENCE:	ASS 1
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	6 October 2016

BACKGROUND:

Mr Trevor Holm, Coordinator for the 2017 South West Black Dog Ride has written to Council advising that on Sunday, 19 March 2017 the “South West One Day Black Dog Ride” will be calling into Nannup for a morning tea stop. The riders will be availing of a 30-40 minute break as part of their route from Busselton to Collie.

Mr Holm advises that the Black Dog Rides past arrangement has been for morning tea to be available through the support of the local CWA ladies at the Nannup Town Hall. It is in this regard that the Black Dog Ride Organising Committee seeks the support of the Shire of Nannup to waive the associated fees for the use of the Hall.

Mr Holm advises that the Black Dog Ride event is entirely voluntary and is a key activity in raising mental health awareness and suicide prevention.

COMMENT:

The Black Dog Ride began in 2009 to raise awareness of depression, developing into national suicide prevention charity involving thousands of Australian motorcycle riders who have raised over \$2.2M for mental health services, fostering mental health awareness around the country.

The Black Dog Ride has been a regular feature on the Nannup event calendar and the small contribution of waiving Hall Hire Fees is one way in which the Shire of Nannup can contribute to the event.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Council Policy No.BLD 1 relating to the "Use/Hire of Community Facilities" states:-
"Should a "not for profit" community group/organisation seek the waiving of any fees and charges imposed for use/hire of a community facility, an application in writing is to be submitted and presented to Council for consideration".

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

The normal hire fee based on an hourly rate for the Town Hall is \$25.00.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Shire of Nannup Community Strategic Plan 2013-2023 – Our Community Leadership – Strategy 5.1 Support existing and emerging community groups.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council waives the Town Hall Hire Fee for the Black Dog Ride event to be held on Sunday, 19 March 2017.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16138 MELLEMA/SLATER

That Council waives the Town Hall Hire Fee for the Black Dog Ride event to be held on Sunday, 19 March 2017.

CARRIED (8/0)

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.4
SUBJECT:	WA Small Business Friendly Local Governments Initiative – Invitation to Participate
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Small Business Development Corporation
FILE REFERENCE:	ADM 24
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	7 October 2016
ATTACHMENT:	12.4.1 – Small Business Friendly Initiative Brochure

BACKGROUND:

David Eaton, Small Business Commissioner with the WA Governments Small Business Development Corporation (SBDC), has written to Council to advise that on 9 August 2016, the Ministers for Small Business and Local Government formally launched the Western Australian Small Business Friendly Local Governments (SBFLG) initiative.

Mr Eaton advises that the SBDC is an independent statutory authority of the Government of WA with a focus on facilitating a strong and enterprising small business sector in the State. The SBDC provides small businesses with advice and guidance, education and skill development programs, alternative dispute resolution services and advocacy to government on behalf of the small business sector. The SBDC also recognise the local government sector as an important stakeholder for small business and are keen to develop collaborative relationships moving forward.

Mr Eaton advises that in recent years he has witnessed local governments embracing small businesses and developing some innovative ways of supporting their growth and contribution to local communities. For this reason, he felt there was a benefit for these local governments to be publicly recognised as small business friendly. Mr Eaton also believes there is an opportunity to assist the wider local government sector to better understand small businesses and make practical steps to support their establishment and growth.

Mr Eaton further advises that the SBFLG initiative has been designed specifically to address this opportunity. It is anticipated that it will also create a platform for local governments to share their experiences and learn from their leading peers to become small business friendly.

Mr Eaton indicates that his office sees the SBFLG initiative as a catalyst for economic growth at the local level and a valuable partnership between the SBDC and the local government sector.

COMMENT:

It is considered that Council does support small business development in Nannup through its involvement with the Business Initiative Group (BigN) and the strategies outlined in the Community Strategic Plan under the theme of "Our Economy".

The Small Business Friendly Local Governments initiative is a further way in which Council can become active and work with small businesses and their development in the Shire of Nannup. The SBDC has advised that if Council did embrace this initiative, it would require the participating local government's CEO to sign a Charter and report twice annually on progress towards the Charter's requirements to ensure the initiative has credibility and integrity. The reporting is designed to be brief and ideally limited to two pages.

At a meeting of the BigN on Thursday, 6 October 2016, the CEO advised attendees of the SBFLG initiative and members were supportive of Council embracing this program.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Shire of Nannup Community Strategic Plan 2013-2023 "Our Economy" – Sustainability is the key to Nannup's Future.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council recognises the importance of the Small Business Development Corporation's "Small Business Friendly Local Government" initiative and as a show of the support to the BigN and small business in the Shire of Nannup, Council advises the SBDC that it is willing to participate in this initiative and authorises the CEO to sign the Charter recognising the requirements of the program.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16139 LONGMORE/SLATER

That Council recognises the importance of the Small Business Development Corporation's "Small Business Friendly Local Government" initiative and as a show of the support to the BigN and small business in the Shire of Nannup, Council advises the SBDC that it is willing to participate in this initiative and authorises the CEO to sign the Charter recognising the requirements of the program.

CARRIED (8/0)

**Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016**

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.5
SUBJECT:	Former Quannup Pastoral Lease
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Part of Lot 13335 of Deposited Plan 238457 being Part of the land on Certificate of Crown Lan Title Volume LR3139 Folio 633
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Department of Lands
FILE REFERENCE:	ADM38A
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	10 October 2016
ATTACHMENT:	12.5.1 – Grant of Licence Agreement

BACKGROUND:

At the March 2016 Ordinary meeting of Council the following was resolved in respect to pursuing a Grant of Licence Agreement for the Quannup Land:-

16032 FRASER/DEAN

That Council advises the Department of Lands that it is prepared to accept the Grant of Licence for the Quannup land subject to the following:-

- 1. That the Grant of Licence be granted for 5 years with the option of renewal for a further 5 year period.*
- 2. Subject to the Department of Lands being agreeable to the requested tenure period and the Grant of Licence being issued, Council establishes a Working Party consisting of Councillors and staff, including the ability to co-opt other members from time to time that Council deems appropriate, to progress future planning of the Quannup land.*

CARRIED (8/0)

The Department of Lands has now provided the Licence Agreement for Council's consideration.

COMMENT:

The Department has agreed to Council's request and a 5 year term with a similar 5 year option to renew has been granted at a peppercorn rental.

Now that the Minister for Lands has agreed to the granting of the Licence Agreement to the Shire of Nannup under the terms sought by Council, it is important that the Working Party be appointed as soon as possible to progress planning for the future development of the land. Options for feasibility studies on future development options could include potential partnerships with developers.

As reported at the March 2016 Ordinary meeting, the Quannup land has significant opportunities for tourism development and would be beneficial to the growth of tourism in the Shire of Nannup however, consideration needs to be given to potential costs that could be incurred by Council over the planning period and acknowledging that such costs are not known at this stage, it is something that must be taken into consideration.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT:

Section 91 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: Nil at this stage

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS: Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That Council authorises the Shire President and CEO to sign and seal the Deed of Licence Agreement for Part of Lot 13335 of Deposited Plan 238457 being Part of the land on Certificate of Crown Land Title Volume LR3139 Folio 633 (Quannup land); and
2. That Council appoints the following Councillors and staff to the Quannup Working Party, including the ability to co-opt other members from time to time that Council deems appropriate to progress the future planning for the Quannup land:-

Crs.....

CEO
Manager Corporate Services
Manager Infrastructure
Economic and Community Development Officer
Development Services Officer

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16140 MELLEMA/DEAN

- 1. That Council authorises the Shire President and CEO to sign and seal the Deed of Licence Agreement for Part of Lot 13335 of Deposited Plan 238457 being Part of the land on Certificate of Crown Land Title Volume LR3139 Folio 633 (Quannup land); and**

- 2. That Council appoints all Councillors and staff listed below to the Quannup Working Party, including the ability to co-opt other members from time to time that Council deems appropriate to progress the future planning for the Quannup land:-**

**Chief Executive Officer
Manager Corporate Services
Manager Infrastructure
Economic and Community Development Officer
Development Services Officer**

CARRIED (8/0)

REASON FOR ALTERATION TO RECOMMENDATION

Council considered that the whole of Council should be representatives on the Quannup Working Party to progress its future development.

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.6
SUBJECT:	Nannup Mountain Bike Club Pump Track
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Marinko Tomas Playground, Warren Rd
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Louise Stokes- Economic & Community Development Officer
FILE REFERENCE:	FNC 60
AUTHOR:	Louise Stokes- Economic & Community Development Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	
DATE OF REPORT:	10 October 2016
ATTACHMENT:	12.6.1 – Examples of a bike pump track. 12.6.2 – Photos of proposed site 12.6.3 – Google map site location

BACKGROUND:

On the 28th September a meeting was held with the Nannup Police, Councillors and representatives of the Nannup District High School Council at the request of School Council member Phaedra Watts, following one of the School Council's recent meetings in which concerns were expressed regarding behavioural attitudes by young teenagers and their propensity to get into trouble.

The meeting discussed opportunities for community engagement of youth and the need to identify an activity that youth can take ownership of.

It was discussed that many local youth are engaged in mountain biking and Council as part of the January school holiday program partnered with the Nannup Mountain Bike Club to host a workshop where youth made their own bike from recycled bikes. Whilst many young people ride out to the downhill trails in the hills around Nannup, it has been identified that a track in a visible location in the townsite would provide activity for youth and children.

Three potential sites were identified of:

- On the hockey oval,
- Bush area to the south of the main oval and
- An area between the Marinko Tomas playground and the Nannup Golf Course.

COMMENT:

A site inspection of the identified sites has been conducted on the 5th October by the Chief Executive Officer, Manager Infrastructure and Economic & Community

Development Officer in conjunction with representatives from the Nannup Mountain Bike Club and Councillors Steer and Fraser.

The use of the hockey oval is not supported as there are existing plans for the development of this area into the future.

The use of the bush area to the south of the existing oval is not supported as there may be potential in the future to extend the oval and any development may push into this area with service roads. This area also does not achieve the aim of the Nannup Mountain Bike Club to have the pump track in a highly visible location.

The location between the Marinko Tomas playground and the Golf Course is supported. The dimensions of the site are approximately 50m x 17m.

The existing pipe/rock play equipment could remain however does not comply with the Australian Playground Standards and is recommended that this is updated and relocated to another location within the playground.

Whilst the Nannup Mountain Bike Club do not require the removal of any trees for this development, it is recommended that some trees are removed to make the area safe.

The Nannup Mountain Bike Club would manage the project and could apply for funding through the Department of Sport & Recreation or the Crime Prevention programs if required. Community engagement workshops would encourage young people to be involved and have input into the design of the track. Construction workshops would then engage with young people and give them the ownership of the project.

An MOU would be developed between Council and the Nannup Mountain Bike Club outlining maintenance responsibilities. Legal liability would remain with Council, as per the skate park site.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Relocation of the existing pipe/rock play equipment, removal of identified trees, inspection of the pump track prior to opening to the public and annual maintenance inspections.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Shire of Nannup Community Strategic Plan 2013-2023

- Our Community – Strategy 1.1 All of us/Who we are- Support the development of a self-sufficient community
- Our Community – Strategy 1.3 Our youth- Create a youth friendly town.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That Council approves the location between the Marinko Tomas playground and the golf course for the development of a bike pump track through the Nannup Mountain Bike Club.

That Council endorses the removal of identified trees at the Marinko Tomas playground area.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16141 STEER/MELLEMA

That Council approves the location between the Marinko Tomas playground and the golf course for the development of a bike pump track through the Nannup Mountain Bike Club.

That Council develops and implements a long term managed replacement program for trees within the Marinko Tomas Park area with more suitable varieties that do not drop limbs.

That Council endorses the removal of identified trees at the Marinko Tomas playground area for the Pump Track and notifies the public of the proposal and impending removal of selected trees.

CARRIED (8/0)

REASON FOR ALTERATION TO RECOMMENDATION

Council considered that this was now the opportune time to commence a replacement tree planting program by removing those trees that regularly drop limbs and replacing them with varieties more suited to a playground area. Council also considered that the public should be informed of its intentions to implement this replacement program.

5.02pm Cr Longmore left the meeting

5.04pm Cr Longmore returned to the meeting

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.7
SUBJECT:	Exemption From Waste Management Levy – Donnelly River Residents
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Donnelly River
NAME OF APPLICANT:	
FILE REFERENCE:	HLT 2
AUTHOR:	Tracie Bishop – Manager Corporate Services
REPORTING OFFICER:	Tracie Bishop – Manager Corporate Services
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	Nil
DATE OF REPORT:	5 October 2016

BACKGROUND:

At the beginning of the 2014/15 financial year Council resolved that an annual Waste Management Levy (WML) raised under *the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2007* would be raised on all ratepayers within the Shire of Nannup. This levy replaced the previous Waste Management Pass that had required the gatekeeper of this facility to check that those depositing into this site had paid for a current pass or were paying per waste drop off.

Overall the prior system had been time consuming, caused continued animosity between facility users and gatekeepers by placing the gatekeeper in the unenviable position of requesting proof before residents were allowed to use the facility without providing payment per usage.

At the beginning of this financial year during budget considerations it was resolved to implement an addition to the WML in which under certain conditions ratepayers could be exempt from paying multiple WML's, however all ratepayers were still required to pay one fee regardless of their proximity to this facility.

COMMENT:

As Councillors will be aware there has been some contentions relating to raising rates on the Donnelly River Leases for the first time. After careful consideration and advice sought from Department of Local Government and Communities, Legal Representatives and Landgate, as well as a presentation from the actual leaseholders of these parcels of land, Council considered that these leaseholders did form part of the Shire of Nannup rate base and as such should contribute towards the overall rates raised from this point forward.

As a result rates have been raised on these properties and approximately 78% of these assessments have either paid in full or commenced payment via the instalment plan option for the payment of these rates.

Nonetheless a number of these assessments have made these payments minus the WML fee and have expressed the view that they do not believe this should form part of their rates payment. Sentiments expressed are that they do not have a rubbish service at this locality and as such they should not be asked to contribute to this service. These views are not correct in that the WML is not a fee for removal of rubbish from each land parcel but rather as a contribution towards the upkeep of the actual Waste Management Facility. All owners who have contacted Council have been corrected on this matter and it has been explained that all ratepayers pay this fee unless an exemption is granted and that those ratepayers within the town boundaries who do have an actual rubbish and recycling service in place pay additional fees for this service. But in the interest of ensuring that Councillors are aware of the sentiment expressed this item has been presented to allow further discussion and consideration.

The decision for Council on whether or not to exempt these lessees from this fee needs to be considered carefully. By granting exemption to these 33 ratepayers, a precedent would be set which could see other property owners in the extremities of the Shire boundaries requesting a similar request. It has been noted in past years that the owners of properties within the Scott River area, for example, have long held that it is not reasonable to expect their contribution based on their distance from the facility. These requests have been refused and the fee has remained within their rates assessment.

For this reason the request to apply an exemption to these properties is not supported by officers.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: Nil.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council advises those lessees of the Donnelly River properties who have sought exemption from the Waste Management Levy that has been applied to their rates assessment that it is deemed to be an appropriate charge and should remain based on the precedent set to all other ratepayers in similar situations.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16142 GILBERT/FRASER

That Council advises those lessees of the Donnelly River properties who have sought exemption from the Waste Management Levy that has been applied to their rates assessment that it is deemed to be an appropriate charge and should remain based on the precedent set to all other ratepayers in similar situations.

CARRIED (8/0)

Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.8
SUBJECT:	Budget Monitoring – September 2016
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Nannup Shire
NAME OF APPLICANT:	N/A
FILE REFERENCE:	FNC 15
AUTHOR:	Robin Prime – Corporate Services Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Tracie Bishop – Manager Corporate Services
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	None
DATE OF REPORT:	11 October 2016
ATTACHMENT:	12.8.1 – Financial Statement for the period ending 30 September 2016

BACKGROUND:

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulation 34(1) requires that Council report monthly on the financial activity from all the various operating and capital divisions. Council has adopted a variance threshold of 10% or \$30,000, whichever is the greater on which to report. The statutory statements are appended at Attachment 12.8.1.

Whilst this has resulted in all variances of 10% being identified and reported, it only focuses attention on the performance to the month in question and not the likely outturn at the end of the year.

Monthly reporting draws on the flexibility allowed in the Financial Management Regulations to draw attention to likely under and overspends at the end of the year.

COMMENT:

There is an expected variance of \$10,000 deficit anticipated at the end of this financial year. It is also anticipated that this overspend will be compensated within other areas of the budget resulting in a nil effect overall. The overall effect of this overspend will be reviewed and analysed within the Budget Review expected in February 2017. Therefore the overspend shown below is shown in an effort to remain transparent.

Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016

	<i>(Surplus)/Deficit</i>
Gross (surplus)/deficit expected for the year	
Income	\$0
Expenditure	\$10,000
Total Overspend/(Underspend) Anticipated	\$10,000

At this early point in the financial year most expenditure is expected to be contained within budgets and no major overspends are anticipated.

OPERATING BY PROGRAM:

Law & Order: Rural Numbering Program Estimated to be over budget by \$10,000.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT:

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulation 34(1)(a).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Monthly Financial Statements for the period ending 30 September 2016 be received.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16143 SLATER/STEVENSON

That the Monthly Financial Statements for the period ending 30 September 2016 be received.

CARRIED (8/0)

**Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016**

AGENDA NUMBER:	12.9
SUBJECT:	Monthly Accounts for Payment - September 2016
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Nannup Shire
NAME OF APPLICANT:	N/A
FILE REFERENCE:	FNC 8
AUTHOR:	Robin Prime – Manager Corporate Services
REPORTING OFFICER:	Tracie Bishop – Manager Corporate Services
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	None
PREVIOUS MEETING REFERENCE:	None
DATE OF REPORT	11 October 2016
ATTACHMENTS:	12.9.1 – Accounts for Payment – September 2016 12.9.2 – Credit Card Transactions – September 2016

BACKGROUND:

The Accounts for Payment for the Nannup Shire Municipal Account fund and Trust Account fund to 30 September 2016 as detailed hereunder and noted on the attached schedule, are submitted to Council.

COMMENT:

If Councillors have questions about individual payments prior notice of these questions will enable officers to provide properly researched responses at the Council meeting.

There is currently one corporate credit card in use. A breakdown of this expenditure in the monthly financial report is required to comply with financial regulations. This breakdown is included within the attachments.

Municipal Account

Accounts paid by EFT	8691 – 8778	220,606.54
Accounts paid by cheque	20090 – 20101	6,448.26
Accounts paid by Direct Debit	DD9690.1 – DD9694.7	25574.15

Sub Total Municipal Account	\$252,628.95
-----------------------------	--------------

Trust Account

Accounts paid by EFT	\$0.00
Accounts Paid by cheque	\$200.00

SubTotal Trust Account	\$200.00
------------------------	----------

Total Payments	\$252,828.95
-----------------------	---------------------

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT: LG (Financial Management) Regulation 13

POLICY IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: As indicated in Schedule of Accounts for Payment.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS: Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the List of Accounts for Payment for the Nannup Shire Municipal Account fund totalling \$252,828.95 1 September 2016 to 30 September 2016 in the attached schedule be endorsed.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple majority

16144 MELLEMA/LONGMORE

That the List of Accounts for Payment for the Nannup Shire Municipal Account fund totalling \$252,828.95 1 September 2016 to 30 September 2016 in the attached schedule be endorsed.

CARRIED (8/0)

13. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING

13.1 OFFICERS

13.2 ELECTED MEMBERS

**14. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC
(Confidential Items)**

PROCEDURAL RECOMMENDATION

That the meeting be closed to members of the public in accordance with Sections 5.23(2) (a), (b) and (c) of the Local Government Act 1995.

(The following report is confidential in accordance with Section 5.23(2)(a),(b) and (c) and of the Local Government Act 1995, being a matter effecting an employee, the personal affairs of a person and a contract that may be entered into by the Local Government)

The confidential report is provided separately to Council Members, the Chief Executive Officer and Directors. In accordance with the legislation, the report is to be kept confidential until determined by the Council to be released for public information. At the conclusion of these matters, Council may wish to make some details available to the public.

16145 STEER/LONGMORE

That the meeting be closed to members of the public in accordance with Sections 5.23(2) (a), (b) and (c) of the Local Government Act 1995.

(The following report is confidential in accordance with Section 5.23(2)(a),(b) and (c) and of the Local Government Act 1995, being a matter effecting an employee, the personal affairs of a person and a contract that may be entered into by the Local Government)

The confidential report is provided separately to Council Members, the Chief Executive Officer and Directors. In accordance with the legislation, the report is to be kept confidential until determined by the Council to be released for public information. At the conclusion of these matters, Council may wish to make some details available to the public.

CARRIED (8/0)

**Shire of Nannup
Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes: 20 October 2016**

The meeting was closed to the public at 5.13pm.

AGENDA NUMBER:	14.1
SUBJECT:	Nannup Caravan Park – Lease Agreement
LOCATION/ADDRESS:	Reserves 20333 Brockman Street and 24762 Balingup Road, Nannup
NAME OF APPLICANT:	Mr Ton van Hattem
FILE REFERENCE:	RES 20333
AUTHOR:	Peter Clarke – Chief Executive Officer
REPORTING OFFICER:	Peter Clarke - Chief Executive Officer
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	None
DATE OF REPORT	6 October 2016

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council advises the Lessees of the Nannup Caravan Park and Riverbend Overflow Park that in respect to the claim for expenses incurred, or to be incurred, Council acknowledges and accepts the claim for Water Meter Fees between July 2015 and August 2016 totalling \$302.21 however, Council is not prepared to accept the claims for lost income associated with Easter 2016, nor the removal costs for a fallen tree at the Riverbend Overflow Park.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS: Simple Majority

16146 STEVENSON/MELLEMA

That Council Suspends Standing Orders to allow the matter to be discussed in more detail.

CARRIED (8/0)

General discussion then took place on the claims submitted by the Lessees of the Nannup Caravan Park.

16147 STEVENSON/MELLEMA

That Council Resumes Standing Orders.

CARRIED (8/0)

16148 STEER/LONGMORE

That Council advises the Lessees of the Nannup Caravan Park and Riverbend Overflow Park that in respect to the claim for expenses incurred, or to be incurred, Council acknowledges and accepts the claim for Water Meter Fees between July 2015 and August 2016 totalling \$302.21 however, Council is not prepared to accept the claims for lost income associated with Easter 2016, nor the removal costs for a fallen tree at the Riverbend Overflow Park.

CARRIED (8/0)

PROCEDURAL RECOMMENDATION

That the meeting be opened to members of the public in accordance with Sections 5.23(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995.

16149 STEVENSON/SLATER

That the meeting be opened to members of the public in accordance with Sections 5.23(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995.

CARRIED (8/0)

The meeting was opened to the public at 5.27pm.

14.2 PUBLIC READING OF RESOLUTIONS THAT MAY BE MADE PUBLIC

Nil

15. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

15.1 Wooden Clock

Cr Longmore has submitted the following information and Notice of Motion for consideration:-

With the completion of the "Wooden Clock" project, Council should be extending its congratulations to Mr Kevin Bird on the magnificent structure that he has created, with the end of result being an excellent example of design and skill using West Australian timbers and is deserving of the tributes being received.

To show support to Mr Kevin Bird and the Nannup community, Council should express public support for the retention of the Clock as a special icon in the Shire of Nannup and that it would readily assist in the identification of a suitable site and lobbying for funding to support its installation in a specifically prepared building and facility.

"That Council advises in writing to Mr Kevin Bird, and by way of advertising in the "Telegraph" Newsletter, that Council is fully supportive for the retention of the "Wooden Clock" in Nannup as a tourism icon and as a means of this support, Council advises that it is prepared to assist in the identification of a suitable site and the lobbying for funding for a dedicated facility to house the Clock in the Nannup town site".

16150 LONGMORE/MELLEMA

“That Council advises in writing to Mr Kevin Bird, and by way of advertising in the “Telegraph” Newsletter, that Council is fully supportive for the retention of the “Wooden Clock” in Nannup as a tourism icon and as a means of this support, Council advises that it is prepared to assist in the identification of a suitable site and the lobbying for funding for a dedicated facility to house the Clock in the Nannup town site”.

CARRIED (8/0)

16. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
Nil

17. CLOSURE OF MEETING

There being no further business to discuss the Shire President declared the meeting closed at 5.38pm.